

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004

				/	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/034,446	12/26/2001	Rick K. Southern	D9426	D9426 1727	
7590	04/15/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
Patrick F. Bright, Esq. BRIGHT & LORIG, P.C.			A, PHI DIE	A, PHI DIEU TRAN	
Suite 3330		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
633 West Fifth Street		3637			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/034,446	SOUTHERN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phi D A	3637	My			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timel in the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ja	<u>anuary 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.		•			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,5-7,10 and 12 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,5-7,10 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		•			
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Applications In the second seco	tion No red in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/034,446 Page 2

Art Unit: 3637

1. The Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 1/27/04 is sufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-3, 5-7,10 and 12 based upon Greenway and Searer in view of Anderson et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greenway (2088238) in view of Taylor et al (3740910) and Searer.

Greenway shows hardwood floor planks (page 2, col 2 lines 24-30) of at least about 3 feet (page 1 col 1 lines 26-29) onto a concrete surface and securing it with an adhesive, the floor having varying thickness at the grooves.

Greenway does not show the adhesive being water resistant, water impermeable adhesive, the boards being nailed to the concrete floor surface at right angles thereto through the boards and through the adhesive layer.

Searer shows a hardwood floor plank boards being nailed to the concrete floor surface at right angles thereto through the boards.

Taylor et al discloses a board (8) being secured to a substructure with nails (12) going through the boards and adhesives (14), the adhesive being water impermeable adhesive (col 1 lines 55-60), the nailing going through the board after the adhesive (14) has been applied to the boards (col 4 lines 54-57).

Application/Control Number: 10/034,446

Art Unit: 3637

Page 3

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Greenway to show the adhesive being water resistant, water impermeable adhesive as taught by Taylor et al, the boards being nailed to the concrete floor surface at right angles thereto through the boards as taught by Searer and through the adhesive layer because having the adhesive being water resistant water impermeable would prevent the adhesive from being damaged by water as taught by Taylor et al, and having nails going through floor boards to the concrete surface at right angles thereto through the boards and the adhesives would enable the adhesive to hold onto the boards while nailing the boards in positions and enable the adhesives to bond the boards in place upon drying without having to have a person holding the boards in place.

Greenway as modified shows all the claimed limitations. The claimed method steps for attaching solid hardwood floor planks to a concrete surface would have been the obvious method of attaching Greenway's structures to a concrete surface.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5-7, 10, 12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art shows boards secured to substructure.

Art Unit: 3637

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 703-306-9136. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phi Dieu Tran A

4/13/04